arXiv:1301.5102v1 [math.CA] 22 Jan 2013

Fundamental solutions of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation of one variable and the Riemann-Hilbert problem

OI, Shu* UENO, Kimio†

Abstract

In this article, we consider the inverse problem of the connection problem of the KZ equation of one variable. Under some assumptions, this problem is solved recursively as the Riemann-Hilbert problem of additive type and characterizes the multiple polylogarithms of one variable.

1 Introduction

In [OiU2], we showed that the polylogarithms $\mathrm{Li}_k(z)$ are characterized by the Euler's inversion formulas

$$\mathrm{Li}_k(z)+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\frac{(-1)^j\log^j z}{j!}\,\mathrm{Li}_{k-j}(z)+\mathrm{Li}_{2,\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{k-2}}(1-z)=\zeta(k) \tag{1}$$

as the recursive Riemann-Hilbert problem of additive type.

In this article, we give its generalization to multiple polylogarithms of one variable as the Riemann-Hilbert problem of the fundamental solutions of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation (the KZ equation, for short) of one variable.

The KZ equation of one variable is an ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dG}{dz}=\left(\frac{X_0}{z}+\frac{X_1}{1-z}\right)G \tag{2}$$

on the moduli space

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,4}=\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\{0,1,\infty\}, \tag{3}$$

where X_0, X_1 are generators of the free Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}=\mathbf{C}\{X_0,X_1\}$, which is the Lie algebra derived by the lower central series of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{0,4})$, and $\mathbf{P}^1$ denotes the Riemann sphere.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34M50,11G55; Secondary 30E25,11M06,32G34;

*Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Rikkyo university.
3-34-1, Nishi-Ikebukuro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan.
e-mail: `shu-oi@rikkyo.ac.jp`

†Department of Mathematics, School of Fundamental Sciences and Engineering,
Faculty of Science and Engineering, Waseda University.
3-4-1, Okubo, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan.
e-mail: `uenoki@waseda.jp`

The fundamental solution of (2) normalized at $z = 0$ is a many-valued analytic function on $\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ whose value is in the completion of the universal enveloping algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{X}) = \mathbf{C}\langle\langle X_0, X_1\rangle\rangle$ and is given as the generating function (26) of the multiple polylogarithms of one variable $\mathrm{Li}_{k_1,\ldots,k_r}(z)$.

The multiple polylogarithms of one variable are determined by the Taylor expansions

$$\mathrm{Li}_{k_1,\ldots,k_r}(z) = \sum_{n_1 > \cdots > n_r > 0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{k_1} \cdots n_r^{k_r}} \tag{4}$$

where $r \geq 1, k_1, \ldots, k_r \geq 1$ and continue on $\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ as many-valued analytic functions via iterated integrals (19) of forms $\frac{dz}{z}$ and $\frac{dz}{1-z}$. The iterated integrals are described in terms of the shuffle algebra and the forms $\frac{dz}{z}$ and $\frac{dz}{1-z}$ are considered as dual generators of X_0, X_1 as a Hopf algebra.

Moreover the connection matrix between the fundamental solution $\mathcal{L}(z)$ of (2) normalized at $z = 0$ and the fundamental solution $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}(z)$ normalized at $z = 1$ is given by the Drinfel'd associator Φ_{KZ}, namely

$$\left(\mathcal{L}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{-1} \mathcal{L}(z) = \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}. \tag{5}$$

The Drinfel'd associator Φ_{KZ} is expressed as the generating function of the multiple zeta values

$$\zeta(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r) = \sum_{n_1 > \cdots > n_r > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \cdots n_r^{k_r}}. \tag{6}$$

The connection relation (5) is equivalent to the system of the functional relations (34) among multiple polylogarithms, which is named “the generalized inversion formulas”, contains the Euler's inversion formulas (1) as special cases.

In this article, we solve completely its inverse problem as a recursive Riemann-Hilbert problem of additive type. That is, we determine holomorphic functions satisfying the generalized inversion formulas and some asymptotic condition (Theorem 9). The result says that the multiple polylogarithms of one variable are characterized by the generalized inversion formulas.

As a similar research, Bloch[Bl] characterized the real version of the dilogarithm $\mathrm{Li}_2(z)$ by using the functional relation called the five term relation. However, the five term relation is a “two variable” relation. In contrast, our characterization is more simple and closed in one variable theory.

The result can be interpreted as the inverse problem for the connection problem of the KZ equation (5). Thus we also show the existence and uniqueness of the functions $F^{(0)}(z)$ and $F^{(1)}(z)$ satisfying the equation

$$\left(F^{(1)}(z)\right)^{-1} F^{(0)}(z) = \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}} \tag{7}$$

for some assumptions (Theorem 10).

This article is organized as follows: In section 2, we prepare the terms of the free Lie algebra and the shuffle algebra, and survey the connection problem of the KZ equation of one variable according to [OkU] and [OiU1]. In section 3, we formulate and solve the recursive Riemann-Hilbert problem of additive type as the main theorem of this article. In section 4, we consider the inverse problem for the connection problem of the KZ equation as the Riemann-Hilbert problem of multiplicative type. Section 5 is devoted to further discussions.

Acknowledgment The second author is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid No. 22540035.

2 The connection problem of the KZ equation of one variable

In this section we prepare some notations and facts from the free Lie algebra and the shuffle algebra. We also introduce the KZ equation of one variable and survey the connection problem of the KZ equation according to [OkU] and [OiU1].

Let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbf{C}\{X_0, X_1\}$ be a free Lie algebra generated by X_0 and X_1 and we denote by $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{X}$. $\mathcal{U}$ is the non-commutative polynomial algebra $\mathbf{C}\langle X_0, X_1\rangle$ generated by X_0, X_1.

The algebra $\mathcal{U}$ has a co-commutative Hopf algebra structure by the following coproduct Δ, the counit ε as algebra morphisms and the antipode ρ as an anti-algebra morphism:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(X_i) &= \mathbf{I} \otimes X_i + X_i \otimes \mathbf{I},\\ \varepsilon(X_i) &= 0,\\ \rho(X_i) &= -X_i,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ denotes the unit of $\mathcal{U}$.

The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}$ has the grading

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_s \tag{8}$$

defined by the length of words. We also denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{X})$ the completion of $\mathcal{U}$ with respect to this grading.

Let $S = S(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ be a shuffle algebra generated by two 1-forms

$$\xi_0 = \frac{dz}{z}, \qquad \xi_1 = \frac{dz}{1-z}. \tag{9}$$

This is the non-commutative polynomial algebra $\mathbf{C}\langle \xi_0, \xi_1\rangle$ generated by ξ_0, ξ_1 with the shuffle product $ш$. The shuffle product is defined inductively as

$$\begin{aligned} w \sqcup\!\sqcup\, \mathbf{1} &= \mathbf{1} \sqcup\!\sqcup\, w = w,\\ (\xi_{i_1} w_1) \sqcup\!\sqcup\, (\xi_{i_2} w_2) &= \xi_{i_1}(w_1 \sqcup\!\sqcup\, (\xi_{i_2} w_2)) + \xi_{i_2}((\xi_{i_1} w_1) \sqcup\!\sqcup\, w_2),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit of $\mathbf{C}\langle \xi_0, \xi_1\rangle$ (that is, $\mathbf{1}$ stands for the empty word) and w, w_1, w_2 are words of $\mathbf{C}\langle \xi_0, \xi_1\rangle$.

S is an associative commutative algebra[R] and has a Hopf algebra structure by the coproduct

$$\Delta^*(\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_r}) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_k}\otimes\xi_{i_{k+1}}\cdots\xi_{i_r}$$

(we regard $\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_0}$ (for $k=0$) and $\xi_{i_{r+1}}\cdots\xi_{i_r}$ (for $k=r$) as $\mathbf{1}$), the counit $\varepsilon^*(\xi_i)=0$ and the antipode $\rho^*(\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_r})=(-1)^r\xi_{i_r}\cdots\xi_{i_1}$.

The shuffle algebra

$$S=\bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty} S_s \tag{10}$$

is also a graded Hopf algebra with the grading defined by the length of words. The restricted dual of this Hopf algebra is the algebra $\mathcal{U}$ defined above with respect to the duality defined by the pairing

$$\langle \xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_r}, X_{j_1}\cdots X_{j_s}\rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & (r=s, i_k=j_k \text{ for } 1\le k\le r),\\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}).\end{cases}$$

In what follows, we assume conveniently that $\sum_{w\in S}$ (or similar notations) means the sum over all words w in S.

The following lemmas are basic and will be used in Section 4.

Lemma 1. *A $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$-valued function*

$$F(z)=\sum_{w\in S} f(w;z)W,$$

which is holomorphic at $z=0$ and $F(0)=\mathbf{I}$, is grouplike if and only if $f(w;z)$ is a shuffle homomorphism.

Lemma 2. *If a $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$-valued function*

$$F(z)=\sum_{w\in S} f(w;z)W$$

is grouplike, holomorphic at $z=0$ and $F(0)=\mathbf{I}$, the reciprocal of $F(z)$ is written as

$$F(z)^{-1}=\sum_{w\in S} f(w;z)\rho(W)=\sum_{w\in S} f(\rho^*(w);z)W. \tag{11}$$

We denote by S^0 and S^{10} the subalgebra of S defined as

$$S^0=\mathbf{C1}+S\xi_1,$$
$$S^{10}=\mathbf{C1}+\xi_0 S\xi_1.$$

S has polynomial ring structures as follows:

Proposition 3 ([R])**.** *S is the polynomial algebra of ξ_0 whose coefficients is in S^0, and is the polynomial algebra of ξ_0,ξ_1 whose coefficients is in S^{10}. That is*

$$S=S^0[\xi_0]=S^{10}[\xi_1,\xi_0].$$

We define the regularizing maps $\mathrm{reg}^0, \mathrm{reg}^{10}$ as picking up the constant term of the polynomial decompositions above:

$$\mathrm{reg}^0 : S = S^0[\xi_0] \to S^0, \quad u = \sum w_j ш \xi_0^j \mapsto w_0 \ (w_j \in S^0),$$
$$\mathrm{reg}^{10} : S = S^{10}[\xi_1, \xi_0] \to S^{10}, \quad u = \sum \xi_1^i ш w_{ij} ш \xi_0^j \mapsto w_{00} \ (w_{ij} \in S^{10}).$$

Regularizing maps reg^0 and reg^{10} are shuffle homomorphisms and are calculated as follows. This Lemma was implicitly shown in [IKZ].

Lemma 4 ([IKZ])**.** *(i) For a word $u \in S^0$,*

$$u\xi_0^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathrm{reg}^0(u\xi_0^{n-j}) ш \xi_0^j, \tag{12}$$
$$\mathrm{reg}^0(u\xi_0^n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j (u\xi_0^{n-j}) ш \xi_0^j. \tag{13}$$

(ii) For a word $u \in S^{10}$,

$$\xi_1^m u \xi_0^n = \sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{j=0}^{n} \xi_1^i ш \mathrm{reg}^{10}(\xi_1^{m-i} u \xi_0^{n-j}) ш \xi_0^j, \tag{14}$$
$$\mathrm{reg}^{10}(\xi_1^m u \xi_0^n) = \sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{i+j} \xi_1^i ш (\xi_1^{m-i} u \xi_0^{n-j}) ш \xi_0^j. \tag{15}$$

Let $\mathbf{D}_0$ and $\mathbf{D}_1$ be domains on $\mathbf{C}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{D}_0 = \mathbf{C} \setminus \{z = x \mid x \leq 0\}, \tag{16}$$
$$\mathbf{D}_1 = \mathbf{C} \setminus \{z = x \mid x \geq 1\} \tag{17}$$

and put $\mathbf{D}_{01} = \mathbf{D}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_1 = \mathbf{C} \setminus \Big(\{z = x \mid x \leq 0\} \cup \{z = x \mid x \geq 1\} \Big)$.

For a word $w = \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_r} \in S^0$, that is $i_r = 1$, we also define the iterated integral

$$\int_0^z w = \begin{cases} 1 & (w = \mathbf{1}), \\ \displaystyle\int_0^z \xi_{i_1} \left(\int_0^z \xi_{i_2} \cdots \xi_{i_r} \right) & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

recursively and expand to S^0 as a linear map.

For $z \in \mathbf{D}_0$ and $w = \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_r} \in S^0$ (i.e. $i_r = 1$), we define the multiple polylogarithms of one variable $\mathrm{Li}(w; z)$ as

$$\mathrm{Li}(w; z) = \int_0^z w = \int_0^z \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_r}. \tag{19}$$

These are holomorphic functions on $\mathbf{D}_0$ and have Taylor expansions

$$\mathrm{Li}(\xi_0^{k_1-1}\xi_1 \cdots \xi_0^{k_r-1}\xi_1; z) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 \cdots > n_r > 0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{k_1} \cdots n_r^{k_r}} \quad (|z| < 1). \tag{20}$$

In what follows, we consider the multiple polylogarithms only of one variable, so we omit “of one variable”.

We also define $\mathrm{Li}(w;z)$ for $S \ni w = \sum w_j ш \xi_0^j$ ($w_j \in S^0$) as

$$\mathrm{Li}(w;z) = \sum \mathrm{Li}(w_j;z)\frac{\log^j z}{j!} \tag{21}$$

and $\mathbf{C}$-linearity. Here $\log z$ is regarded as the principal value. These extended multiple polylogarithms are holomorphic in $\mathbf{D}_{01}$.

Lemma 5 ([HPH][Ok])**.** *These extended multiple polylogarithms satisfy the following recursive differential relations:*

$$\frac{d}{dz}\mathrm{Li}(\xi_0 u;z) = \frac{\mathrm{Li}(u;z)}{z}, \qquad \frac{d}{dz}\mathrm{Li}(\xi_1 u;z) = \frac{\mathrm{Li}(u;z)}{1-z}. \tag{22}$$

If $w = \xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_r} \in S^{10}$ (i.e. $i_1 = 0, i_r = 1$), the limit of $\mathrm{Li}(z;w)$ where z tends to 1 in $\mathbf{D}_{01}$ converges and defines the multiple zeta values:

$$\zeta(w) = \lim_{\substack{z\to 1\\ z\in\mathbf{D}_{01}}} \mathrm{Li}(w;z) = \sum_{n_1>n_2\cdots>n_r>0} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1}\cdots n_r^{k_r}}. \tag{23}$$

We remark that the extended multiple polylogarithms can be continued as many-valued analytic functions on $\mathbf{P}^1\backslash\{0,1,\infty\}$ by analytic continuations along the integral paths of (19).

If $w = \xi_0^{k_1-1}\xi_1\cdots\xi_0^{k_r-1}\xi_1 \in S^0$, the multiple polylogarithm $\mathrm{Li}(w;z)$ is also denoted by $\mathrm{Li}_{k_1,\ldots,k_r}(z)$ and if $w \in S^{10}$, the multiple zeta value $\zeta(w)$ by $\zeta(k_1,\ldots,k_r)$ as usual.

Under these notations, the KZ equation of one variable (2) is written as

$$dG = (\xi_0 X_0 + \xi_1 X_1)G \tag{24}$$

and the fundamental solution normalized at $z=0$ and $z=1$ can be written as follows:

Proposition 6 ([OiU1], [OkU])**.** *The KZ equation of one variable* (24) *has the solution* $\mathcal{L}(z)$ *which has the asymptotic condition*

$$\mathcal{L}(z) = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(z)z^{X_0}, \qquad \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(z) \text{ is holomorphic at } z=0 \text{ and } \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(0) = \mathbf{I}$$

uniquely, and expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}(z) = \Big(\sum_{w\in S}\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w);z)W\Big)z^{X_0} \tag{25}$$

$$= (1-z)^{-X_1}\Big(\sum_{w\in S}\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^{10}(w);z)W\Big)z^{X_0}. \tag{26}$$

Furthermore this solution $\mathcal{L}(z)$ *is a grouplike element of* $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$.

We call the solution $\mathcal{L}(z)$ the fundamental solution of (24) normalized at $z=0$.

With respect to the transformation $t : z \mapsto 1 - z$, we introduce some automorphisms on S and $\mathcal{U}$. We define the automorphism t^* on S by $t^*(\xi_0) = -\xi_1$, $t^*(\xi_1) = -\xi_0$ as the pull back of t and the automorphism t_* on $\mathcal{U}$ by $t_*(X_0) = -X_1$, $t_*(X_1) = -X_0$ as the dual map of t^*.

Let $\tau : S \to S$ be an anti-automorphism defined by $\tau = t^* \circ \rho^*$, that is, $\tau(\xi_0) = \xi_1$ and $\tau(\xi_1) = \xi_0$. Furthermore put $T = t_* \circ \rho$ which is an anti-automorphism on $\mathcal{U}$.

Under these notations, the regularizing map for $S^1 = \mathbf{C1} + S\xi_1$

$$\mathrm{reg}^1 : S = S_1[\xi_1] \to S_1, \qquad u = \sum w_j ш \xi_1^j \mapsto w_0 \tag{27}$$

is written as

$$\mathrm{reg}^1 = t^* \circ \mathrm{reg}^0 \circ t^*. \tag{28}$$

Note that for a $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$-valued function $F(z) = \sum_{w \in S} f(w; z)W$, we have

$$T(F(z)) := \sum_{w \in S} f(w; z)T(W) = \sum_{w \in S} f(\tau(w); z)W. \tag{29}$$

According to transformations above, the fundamental solution $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$ normalized at $z = 1$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)}(z) = \Big(\sum_{w \in S} \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w); 1 - z)t_*(W)\Big)(1 - z)^{-X_1} \tag{30}$$

$$= z^{X_0}\Big(\sum_{w \in S} \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^{10}(w); 1 - z)t_*(W)\Big)(1 - z)^{-X_1} \tag{31}$$

and the connection relation between $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$ as follows:

Proposition 7 ([D][OkU]). *(i) The connection matrix between $\mathcal{L}(z)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}(z)$ is given by the Drinfel'd associator*

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}} = \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}(X_0, X_1) = \sum_{w \in S} \zeta(\mathrm{reg}^{10}(w))W \tag{32}$$

That is, the connection formula is written as

$$\mathcal{L}(z) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(z)\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}. \tag{33}$$

(ii) The connection formula (33) *is equivalent to the system of relations*

$$\sum_{uv = w} \mathrm{Li}(\tau(u); 1 - z)\,\mathrm{Li}(v; z) = \zeta(\mathrm{reg}^{10}(w)) \tag{34}$$

for all words $w \in S$.

We call the relations (34) the generalized inversion formula.

This proposition is proved from the representation (26), (31), Lemma 2, and the definition of the multiple zeta values (23)

$$\lim_{\substack{z \to 1, \\ z \in \mathbf{D}_{01}}} \mathrm{Li}(w; z) = \zeta(w)$$

for $w \in S^{10}$ (We note that one can show the generalized inversion formula (34) directly by using the fact that the differential of the left hand side of (34) is equal to 0 and the lemma below).

The following lemma is the key to prove Theorem 9 in Section 3.

Lemma 8. *For $w \neq \xi_0^r, \xi_1^r$, we have*

$$\lim_{\substack{z \to 1, \\ z \in \mathbf{D}_{01}}} \sum_{uv=w} \mathrm{Li}(\tau(u); 1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(v; z) = \zeta(\mathrm{reg}^{10}(w)).$$

Proof. We denote the word in S by $w = \xi_1^k w' \xi_0^l$, $w' \in S^{10}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{uv=w} \mathrm{Li}(\tau(u); 1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(v; z) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k} \mathrm{Li}(\tau(\xi_1^i); 1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_1^{k-i} w' \xi_0^l; z) + \sum_{\substack{uv = w'\xi_0^l \\ u \neq \mathbf{1}}} \mathrm{Li}(\tau(\xi_1^k u); 1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(v; z). \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term of the right hand side of (35), by putting $u = \xi_0 u'$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Li}(\tau(\xi_1^k u); 1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(v; z) &= \mathrm{Li}(\tau(\xi_1^k \xi_0 u'); 1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(v; z) \\
&= \mathrm{Li}(\tau(u')\xi_1 \xi_0^k); 1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(v; z) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{k} \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^0(\tau(u')\xi_1 \xi_0^{k-s})); 1-z) \frac{\log^s(1-z)}{s!}\,\mathrm{Li}(v; z) \\
&\to 0 \qquad (z \to 1), \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

according to $\mathrm{reg}^0(\tau(u')\xi_1\xi_0^{k-s}) \neq \mathbf{1}$, the Taylor expansion (20) and the fact that $\mathrm{Li}(v; z)$ diverges at most as the logarithmic order.

Next, we consider the first term of the right hand side of (35). By using Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=0}^{k} \mathrm{Li}(\tau(\xi_1^i); 1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_1^{k-i} w' \xi_0^l; z) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k} \mathrm{Li}(\xi_0^i; 1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_1^{k-i} w' \xi_0^l; z) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{p=0}^{k-i} \sum_{q=0}^{l} \mathrm{Li}(\xi_0^i; 1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_1^p; z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^{10}(\xi_1^{k-i-p} w' \xi_0^{l-q}); z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_0^q; z) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{p=0}^{k-i} \sum_{q=0}^{l} \frac{\log^i(1-z)}{i!} \frac{(-\log(1-z))^p}{p!}\,\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^{10}(\xi_1^{k-i-p} w' \xi_0^{l-q}); z) \frac{\log^q z}{q!} \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{k} \sum_{q=0}^{l} \left(\sum_{i+p=r} \frac{1}{i!} \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \right) \log^r(1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^{10}(\xi_1^{k-r} w' \xi_0^{l-q}); z) \frac{\log^q z}{q!}. \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

Since the identity

$$\sum_{i+p=r} \frac{1}{i!}\frac{(-1)^p}{p!} = \begin{cases} 1 & (r=0) \\ 0 & (r \neq 1) \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

follows from the binomial theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (37) &= \sum_{q=0}^{l} \operatorname{Li}(\operatorname{reg}^{10}(\xi_1^{k-r} w' \xi_0^{l-q}); z) \frac{\log^q z}{q!} \\ &\to \operatorname{Li}(\operatorname{reg}^{10}(\xi_1^{k} w' \xi_0^{l}); 1) = \zeta(\operatorname{reg}^{10}(\xi_1^{k} w' \xi_0^{l})) \qquad (z \to 1). \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

□

3 The Riemann-Hilbert problem of additive type for multiple polylogarithms

In this section, we solve the inverse problem of the generalized inversion formula (34) and give a characterization of multiple polylogarithms.

The generalized inversion formula (34) is expressed as

$$\operatorname{Li}(\tau(w); 1-z) + \operatorname{Li}(w; z) = \zeta(\operatorname{reg}^{10}(w)) - \sum_{\substack{uv=w \\ u,v \neq \mathbf{1}}} \operatorname{Li}(\tau(u); 1-z) \operatorname{Li}(v; z). \tag{40}$$

The right hand side above is holomorphic in $\mathbf{D}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_1$, and the equation says that it decomposes the sum of $\operatorname{Li}(\tau(w); 1-z)$ and $\operatorname{Li}(w; z)$. Hence this decomposition is considered to be a Riemann-Hilbert problem (or a Plemeij-Birkhoff decomposition) of additive type[Bi][M][P].

We formulate a recursive Riemann-Hilbert problem of additive type corresponding to this decomposition as follows:

Theorem 9. *There exist uniquely* $\sqcup\!\sqcup$*-homomorphisms* $f^{(i)}(\bullet; z) : S \to \mathbf{C}$ $(i = 0, 1)$*, satisfying*

$$f^{(0)}(\xi_0; z) = \log z, \quad f^{(1)}(\xi_0; z) = \log(1-z), \tag{41}$$

and the following three conditions;

(i) for any word $w \in S$*,* $f^{(0)}(\operatorname{reg}^0(w); z)$*,* $f^{(1)}(\operatorname{reg}^0(w); z)$ *are holomorphic in* $\mathbf{D}_0$ *and* $\mathbf{D}_1$ *respectively, and enjoy the Riemann-Hilbert problem of additive type*

$$\sum_{uv=w} f^{(1)}(\tau(u); z) f^{(0)}(v; z) = \zeta(\operatorname{reg}^{10}(w)) \quad (z \in \mathbf{D}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_1), \tag{42}$$

(ii) the asymptotic condition

$$\frac{d}{dz} f^{(i)}\big(\operatorname{reg}^0(w); z\big) \to 0 \quad (z \to \infty,\ z \in \mathbf{D}_i,\ w \neq \xi_0^r), \tag{43}$$

(iii) the normalizing condition

$$f^{(0)}\big(\operatorname{reg}^0(w);0\big)=0 \qquad (w\neq\xi_0^r). \tag{44}$$

The solution $f^{(i)}(\bullet;z)$ is nothing but the multiple polylogarithms

$$f^{(0)}(w;z)=\operatorname{Li}(w;z), \tag{45}$$
$$f^{(1)}(w;z)=\operatorname{Li}(w;1-z). \tag{46}$$

Proof. We prove the theorem by using induction on the length of words.

First, in the case of $w=\xi_0$, the equation (42) reads

$$f^{(1)}(\xi_1;z)+f^{(0)}(\xi_0;z)=0. \tag{47}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$f^{(1)}(\xi_1;z)=-f^{(0)}(\xi_0;z)=-\log z=\operatorname{Li}(\xi_1;1-z). \tag{48}$$

In a similar fashion, in the case of $w=\xi_1$ we have

$$f^{(0)}(\xi_1;z)=-f^{(1)}(\xi_0;z)=-\log(1-z)=\operatorname{Li}(\xi_1;z). \tag{49}$$

Since $f^{(0)}$ and $f^{(1)}$ are both shuffle homomorphisms, the definitions of $f^{(0)}(\xi_0;z)$, $f^{(1)}(\xi_0;z)$ and equations (48), (49) yield the equations

$$f^{(0)}(\xi_0^r;z)=\frac{(\log z)^r}{r!}=\operatorname{Li}(\xi_0^r;z), \tag{50}$$
$$f^{(1)}(\xi_0^r;z)=\frac{(\log(1-z))^r}{r!}=\operatorname{Li}(\xi_0^r;1-z), \tag{51}$$
$$f^{(0)}(\xi_1^r;z)=\frac{(-\log(1-z))^r}{r!}=\operatorname{Li}(\xi_1^r;z), \tag{52}$$
$$f^{(1)}(\xi_1^r;z)=\frac{(-\log z)^r}{r!}=\operatorname{Li}(\xi_1^r;1-z). \tag{53}$$

Next we assume that $f^{(1)}(w';z)=\operatorname{Li}(w';1-z)$ and $f^{(0)}(w';z)=\operatorname{Li}(w';z)$ if the length of a word w' is less than the length of the word w. Under the assumption, the equation (42) becomes

$$f^{(1)}(\tau(w);z)+\sum_{k=1}^{r-1}\operatorname{Li}(\tau(\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_k});1-z)\operatorname{Li}(\xi_{i_{k+1}}\cdots\xi_{i_r};z)+f^{(0)}(w;z)=\zeta(\operatorname{reg}^{10}(w)) \tag{54}$$

for $w=\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_r}$.

Now we show $f^{(1)}(w;z)=\operatorname{Li}(w;1-z)$ and $f^{(0)}(w;z)=\operatorname{Li}(w;z)$ by using

(54), (43) and (44). According to Lemma 5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d\left(\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \mathrm{Li}(\tau(\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_k});1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_{i_{k+1}}\cdots\xi_{i_r};z)\right)\\
&=\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} d\,\mathrm{Li}(\tau(\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_k});1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_{i_{k+1}}\cdots\xi_{i_r};z)\\
&\qquad+\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \mathrm{Li}(\tau(\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_k});1-z)d\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_{i_{k+1}}\cdots\xi_{i_r};z)\\
&=-\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \xi_{i_k}\,\mathrm{Li}(\tau(\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_{k-1}});1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_{i_{k+1}}\cdots\xi_{i_r};z)\\
&\qquad+\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \mathrm{Li}(\tau(\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_k});1-z)\xi_{i_{k+1}}\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_{i_{k+2}}\cdots\xi_{i_r};z)\\
&=-\,\xi_{i_1}\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_{i_2}\cdots\xi_{i_r};z)+\xi_{i_r}\,\mathrm{Li}(\tau(\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_{r-1}});1-z)\\
&=-\,d\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_r};z)-d\,\mathrm{Li}(\tau(\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_r});1-z).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the differentiating of (54) reads the equation

$$
df^{(0)}(w;z)-d\,\mathrm{Li}(w;z)=-df^{(1)}(\tau(w);z)+d\,\mathrm{Li}(\tau(w);1-z). \tag{55}
$$

Now, applying to the decomposition $w=\sum_{j=0}^{r} w_{r-j}\,ш\,\xi_0^j$ as the isomorphism $S=S^0[\xi_0]$ ($w_r=\mathrm{reg}^0(w)$, see Proposition 3) to $f^{(0)}(w;z)$ and $\mathrm{Li}(w;z)$ and using the hypothesis of induction, we have

$$
f^{(0)}(w;z)=f^{(0)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w);z)+\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\mathrm{Li}(w_{r-j};z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_0^j;z)
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{Li}(w;z)=\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w);z)+\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\mathrm{Li}(w_{r-j};z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_0^j;z).
$$

In the same way, we also have

$$
f^{(1)}(\tau(w);1-z)=f^{(1)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(\tau(w));1-z)+\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\mathrm{Li}(\tau(w_{r-j});1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_0^j;1-z)
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{Li}(\tau(w);1-z)=\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^0(\tau(w));1-z)+\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\mathrm{Li}(\tau(w_{r-j});1-z)\,\mathrm{Li}(\xi_0^j;1-z).
$$

Therefore the equation (55) is reduced to

$$
df^{(0)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w);z)-d\,\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w);z)=-df^{(1)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(\tau(w));z)+d\,\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^0(\tau(w));1-z). \tag{56}
$$

Since the left hand side (resp. right hand side) of (56) is holomorphic on $\mathbf{D}_0$ (resp. $\mathbf{D}_1$), the both side of (56) are entire functions. By (43), due to Liouville's theorem, we obtain

$$df^{(0)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w); z) - d\,\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w); z) = 0,$$
$$df^{(1)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(\tau(w)); z) - d\,\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^0(\tau(w)); 1-z) = 0.$$

Thus the functions $f^{(0)}(w; z)$ and $f^{(1)}(w; z)$ are determined as

$$f^{(0)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w); z) = \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w); z) + c^{(0)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w)),$$
$$f^{(1)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(\tau(w)); z) = \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^0(\tau(w)); 1-z) + c^{(1)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w)),$$

where $c^{(0)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w))$ and $c^{(1)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w))$ are integration constants. Due to the hypothesis of induction, we also have

$$f^{(0)}(w; z) = \mathrm{Li}(w; z) + c^{(0)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w)), \tag{57}$$
$$f^{(1)}(\tau(w); z) = \mathrm{Li}(\tau(w); 1-z) + c^{(1)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w)). \tag{58}$$

Finally, we determine the integral constants $c^{(0)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w))$ and $c^{(1)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w))$. By (44), $c^{(0)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w)) = 0$ is clear. The fact that $c^{(1)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w)) = 0$ is followed from Lemma 8.

We have thus completed the proof of this theorem.

□

4 The Riemann-Hilbert problem corresponding to the KZ equation of one variable

In this section, we consider the Riemann-Hilbert problem corresponding to the KZ equation of one variable and discuss the relationship between this Riemann-Hilbert problem and Theorem 9.

The connection formula (33) can be written as

$$\left(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{-1}\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(z) = (1-z)^{-X_1}\varPhi_{\mathrm{KZ}} z^{-X_0}, \tag{59}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbf{D}_0$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^{(1)}(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbf{D}_1$. The equation (59) can be interpreted as the decomposition formula of the right hand side, which is holomorphic in $\mathbf{D}_{01}$, to the multiplication of the function holomorphic in $\mathbf{D}_0$ and the function holomorphic in $\mathbf{D}_1$. In this sense, the equation (59) is a Riemann-Hilbert problem (or a Plemeij-Birkhoff decomposition) of multiplicative type.

The Riemann-Hilbert problem corresponding to the KZ equation is to find $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$-valued functions $\widehat{F}^{(0)}$ and $\widehat{F}^{(1)}$ which are holomorphic in $\mathbf{D}_0$ and $\mathbf{D}_1$ respectively, $\widehat{F}^{(0)}(0) = \mathbf{I}$, and satisfy the relation

$$\left(\widehat{F}^{(1)}\right)^{-1}\widehat{F}^{(0)} = (1-z)^{-X_1}\varPhi_{\mathrm{KZ}} z^{-X_0} \tag{60}$$

in $\mathbf{D}_{01}$. Theorem 9 gives a solution of this Riemann-Hilbert problem. Moreover the solution is unique under some assumptions.

Theorem 10. *There exist uniquely the $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$-valued functions $\widehat{F}^{(i)}(z)$ which are holomorphic in $\mathbf{D}_i$, grouplike, expressed as*

$$\widehat{F}^{(0)}(z) = \sum_{w\in S} \widehat{f}^{(0)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w); z)W, \tag{61}$$

$$\widehat{F}^{(1)}(z) = \sum_{w\in S} \widehat{f}^{(1)}(\mathrm{reg}^1(w); z)W, \tag{62}$$

and satisfy the following conditions;

(i) the Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$\left(\widehat{F}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{-1} \widehat{F}^{(0)}(z) = (1-z)^{-X_1}\varPhi_{\mathrm{KZ}} z^{-X_0}, \tag{63}$$

(ii) the asymptotic condition

$$\frac{d}{dz}\widehat{F}^{(i)}(z) \to \mathbf{I} \qquad (z\to\infty, z\in\mathbf{D}_i), \tag{64}$$

(iii) the normalizing condition

$$\widehat{F}^{(0)}(0) = \mathbf{I}. \tag{65}$$

Furthermore the solution of this Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$\widehat{F}(z)z^{X_0}, \qquad \widehat{F}^{(1)}(z)(1-z)^{-X_1}$$

are the fundamental solutions of the KZ equation of one variable normalized at $z=0$ and 1 respectively.

Proof. We reduce this problem to Theorem 9. First, since $\widehat{F}^{(0)}(z)$ and $\widehat{F}^{(1)}(z)$ are grouplike, by virtue of Lemma 1 and 2, the functions $\widehat{f}^{(i)}(\bullet; z)$ are regarded as shuffle homomorphisms from S^i to $\mathbf{C}$, and the reciprocal of $\widehat{F}^{(1)}(z)$ is given by

$$\left(\widehat{F}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{-1} = \sum_{w\in S} \widehat{f}^{(1)}(\mathrm{reg}^1 \circ \rho^*(w); z)W.$$

Put

$$f^{(0)}(w\xi_0^n; z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \widehat{f}^{(0)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w\xi_0^{n-j}); z)\frac{\log^j z}{j!}, \tag{66}$$

$$f^{(1)}(w\xi_0^n; z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \widehat{f}^{(1)}(t^*\circ \mathrm{reg}^0(w\xi_0^{n-j}); z)\frac{\log^j (1-z)}{j!}, \tag{67}$$

for $w\in S^0$. Thus $f^{(0)}(\bullet; z)$ and $f^{(1)}(\bullet; z)$ are both shuffle homomorphisms on S, $f^{(0)}(\xi_0; z) = \log z$, $f^{(1)}(\xi_0; z) = \log(1-z)$, and

$$f^{(0)}(w; z) = \widehat{f}^{(0)}(w; z),$$
$$f^{(1)}(w; z) = \widehat{f}^{(1)}(t^*(w); z)$$

for all words $w\in S^0$ holds. Since $\widehat{F}^{(i)}(z)$ are holomorphic in $\mathbf{D}_i$, we see that $f^{(i)}(w; z)$ are holomorphic in $\mathbf{D}_i$ for all words $w\in S^0$.

Under this situation, the equivalence between the asymptotic condition (64) and (43), and that between the normalizing condition (65) and (44) are clear.

Finally we show that (63) and (42) are equivalent under these conditions above. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{F}^{(0)}(z)z^{X_0} &= \left(\sum_{w\in S^0}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\widehat{f}^{(0)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w\xi_0^n);z)WX_0^n\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{\log^j z}{j!}X_0^j\right)\\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{w\in S^0}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\widehat{f}^{(0)}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w\xi_0^{n-j});z)\frac{\log^j z}{j!}WX_0^n\\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{w\in S^0} f^{(0)}(w\xi_0^n;z)WX_0^n\\
&= \sum_{w\in S} f^{(0)}(w;z)W.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\mathrm{reg}^1 = t^*\circ\mathrm{reg}^0\circ t^*, \tau = t^*\circ\rho^*$ and (29), we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-z)^{X_1}\left(\widehat{F}^{(1)}(z)\right)^{-1} &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{\log^j(1-z)}{j!}X_1^j\right)\left(\sum_{w\in S^0}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\widehat{f}^{(1)}(\mathrm{reg}^1\circ\rho^*(w\xi_0^n);z)WX_0^n\right)\\
&= \sum_{w\in S^0}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\widehat{f}^{(1)}(t^*\circ\mathrm{reg}^0(w\xi_0^{n-j});z)\frac{\log^j(1-z)}{j!}T(WX_0^n)\\
&= \sum_{w\in S^0}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{(1)}(w\xi_0^n;z)T(WX_0^n)\\
&= \sum_{w\in S} f^{(1)}(w;z)T(W)\\
&= \sum_{w\in S} f^{(1)}(\tau(w);z)W.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the equation (63) can be written as

$$\left(\sum_{u\in S} f^{(1)}(\tau(u);z)U\right)\left(\sum_{v\in S} f^{(0)}(v;z)V\right) = \varPhi_{\mathrm{KZ}} = \sum_{w\in S}\zeta(\mathrm{reg}^{10}(w))W.$$

This is the equation (42).

Applying Theorem 9 to $f^{(i)}(w;z)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{(0)}(w;z) &= \mathrm{Li}(w;z),\\
f^{(1)}(w;z) &= \mathrm{Li}(w;1-z).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{F}^{(0)}(z) = \sum_{w\in S}\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w);z)W, \tag{68}$$

$$\widehat{F}^{(1)}(z) = \sum_{w\in S}\mathrm{Li}(t^*\circ\mathrm{reg}^1(w);1-z)W = \sum_{w\in S}\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{reg}^0(w);1-z)t_*(W) \tag{69}$$

are unique solutions to this Riemann-Hilbert problem. The last claims follows from (25) and (30). □

5 Discussions

In Theorem 9 and Theorem 10, the normalizing conditions (44), (65) are natural assumptions for determining integral constants. However the asymptotic conditions (43), (64) are not derived from the connection problem. For replacing the asymptotic conditions to "natural" ones, we have to consider the Riemann-Hilbert problem among $z = 0, 1$, and ∞. For details, see our another paper [OiU3].

References

[Bi] G. D. Birkhoff, The generalized Riemann problem for linear differential equations and the allied problems for linear difference and q-difference equations, Proc. Am. Acad. Arts and Sciences, **49** (1914), 521–568.

[Bl] S. Bloch, *Higher Regulators, Algebraic K-Theory, and Zeta Functions of Elliptic Curves*, CRM Monogr. Ser., **11**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2000.

[D] V. G. Drinfel'd, On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with Gal$(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$, Algebra i Analiz 2 (1990), no. 4, 149–181; translation in Leningrad Math. J. **2** (1991), no. 4, 829–860.

[HPH] Hoang Ngoc Minh, M. Petitot, and J. van der Hoeven, L'algèbre des polylogarithmes par les séries génératrices. Proc. of FPSAC'99, 11-th International Conference of Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatrics, Barcelona, June 1999.

[IKZ] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values, Compos. Math. 142 (2006), no. 2, 307–338.

[M] N. I. Muskhelishvili, *Singular Integral Equations*, P. Noordhoff Ltd. (1946).

[OiU1] S. Oi and K. Ueno, KZ equation on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}$ and the harmonic product of multiple polylogarithms, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 105 (2012) 983–1020.

[OiU2] S. Oi and K. Ueno, The inversion formula of polylogarithms and the Riemann-Hilbert problem, Symmetries, Integrable Systems and Representations, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, Vol. 40, Springer (2013), 491–496.

[OiU3] S. Oi and K. Ueno, The hexagon equations for dilogarithms and the Riemann-Hilbert problem, preprint(2013).

[Ok] J. Okuda, Duality Formulas of Special Values of Multiple Polylogarithms, Bull. London Math. Soc. (2004)

[OkU] J. Okuda and K.Ueno, The Sum Formula for Multiple Zeta Values, Zeta Functions, Topology and Quantum Physics, Developments in Mathematics 14, ed. by T. Aoki et al., Springer-Verlag (2005), 145–170.

[P] J. Plemelj, *Problems in the sense of Riemann and Klein*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 16, Interscience Publishers, John Wiley & Sons Inc. New York-London-Sydney (1964).

[R] C. Reutenauer, Free Lie Algebras, Oxford Science Publications,1993.